

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/774,517 | 02/10/2004 | Woo-Hyuk Choi | 8733.345.10-US | 8692 | |
| 30827 MCKENNA I | 7590 08/11/200 ONG & ALDRIDGE L | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 1900 K STREET, NW | | | NGUYEN, DUNG T | | |
| WASHINGTO | DN, DC 20006 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2871 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/11/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/774,517 | CHOI, WOO-HYUK | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Dung Nguyen | 2871 | |

| | Dung Nguyen | 2871 | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | | | | |
| All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing dat | e of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee | | | | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFF 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | " ''th 07 OFD 44 07 | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | lucing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | cted claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | TOL 004) | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (i | OL-324). | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11-14 and 16-21. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | to provide a | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | try is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| | /Dung T. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2871

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new proposed amendment would require furtherconsideration .